

INOUE SURFACES AND THE CHERN-RICCI FLOW

SHOUWEN FANG, VALENTINO TOSATTI, BEN WEINKOVE, AND TAO ZHENG

ABSTRACT. We investigate the Chern-Ricci flow, an evolution equation of Hermitian metrics, on Inoue surfaces. These are non-Kähler compact complex surfaces of type Class VII. We show that, after an initial conformal change, the flow always collapses the Inoue surface to a circle at infinite time, in the sense of Gromov-Hausdorff.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Chern-Ricci flow is an evolution equation for Hermitian metrics on complex manifolds, which specializes to the Kähler-Ricci flow when the initial metric is Kähler. It was introduced by Gill [8] in the setting of manifolds with vanishing first Bott-Chern class. The second and third named authors [32, 33] investigated the flow on more general complex manifolds and initiated a program to understand its behavior on all compact complex surfaces. The results obtained in [32, 33, 34, 10, 11, 19, 24] are closely analogous to those for the Kähler-Ricci flow, and provide compelling evidence that the Chern-Ricci flow is a natural geometric flow on complex surfaces whose behavior reflects the underlying geometry of these manifolds.

The Class VII surfaces are of particular interest, and indeed there is a well-known open problem to complete their classification. Class VII surfaces are by definition compact complex surfaces with negative Kodaira dimension and first Betti number one. In particular, they are non-Kähler. It is natural to try to understand the behavior of the Chern-Ricci flow on these surfaces, with the long-term aim of extracting new topological or complex-geometric information (cf. [29], where a different flow is considered).

The goal of this paper is to analyze the behavior of the Chern-Ricci flow on a family of already well-understood Class VII surfaces, known as Inoue surfaces. Thanks to results in [1, 14, 17, 30], we can characterize an Inoue surface S as a Class VII surface with vanishing second Betti number and no holomorphic curves. These surfaces were constructed and classified by Inoue in [12]. They come in three families, denoted by S_M, S^+ and S^- , and their universal cover is $\mathbb{C} \times H$, where H is the upper half plane. We will denote by (z_1, z_2) the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{C} \times H$. On any Inoue

Supported in part by National Science Foundation of China grants 11401514 (S.F) and 11401023 (T.Z.), and National Science Foundation grants DMS-1308988 (V.T.) and DMS-1406164 (B.W). The second-named author is supported in part by a Sloan Research Fellowship.

surface S the standard Poincaré metric $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{(\operatorname{Im} z_2)^2} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2$ on H descends to a closed semipositive real $(1, 1)$ form on S , and a constant multiple of it (this constant depends on S) is then a closed real $(1, 1)$ form ω_∞ with

$$0 \leq \omega_\infty \in -c_1^{\text{BC}}(S),$$

where $c_1^{\text{BC}}(S)$ is the first Bott-Chern class of S . The $(1, 1)$ form ω_∞ will play an important role in our results.

We consider the Chern-Ricci flow

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega = -\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) - \omega, \quad \omega|_{t=0} = \omega_0,$$

on S , starting at a Hermitian metric ω_0 . Here $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega)$ denotes the *Chern-Ricci* form of the Hermitian metric $\omega = \sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}}dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$, defined by

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log \det g.$$

To be precise, (1.1) is the *normalized* Chern-Ricci flow, which will give us a limit at infinity without the need to rescale. Since the canonical bundle of S is nef, it follows from the results of [32, 33, 34] that there exists a unique solution to (1.1) for all time. We are concerned with the behavior of the flow as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In [33], an explicit solution to (1.1) was found on every Inoue surface. In fact, viewing Inoue surfaces as quotients G/H where G is a solvable Lie group [38], the metrics considered in [33] are homogeneous, and it was later observed in [15, 16] that the Chern-Ricci form of any homogeneous Hermitian metric depends only on the complex structure of the manifold (and not on the metric), which allows one to explicitly solve the Chern-Ricci flow starting at any homogeneous metric on an Inoue surface.

The explicit solutions constructed in [33] (and in fact all the homogeneous solutions considered in [15, 16]) have the property that they converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense to the circle S^1 with its standard metric. This reflects the structure of Inoue surfaces as bundles over S^1 . Note that this collapsing to S^1 is in striking contrast with the behavior of the Kähler-Ricci flow, where collapsed limits are always even-dimensional (cf. [6, 9, 25, 26, 27, 28, 35]).

It is natural to conjecture that the limiting behavior of the explicit solutions [33] holds for *any* choice of initial metric. The main result of this paper is that this is true for a large class of initial metrics.

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be an Inoue surface, and let ω be any Hermitian metric on S . Then there exists a Hermitian metric $\omega_{\text{LF}} = e^\sigma \omega$ in the conformal class of ω such that the following holds.*

Let $\omega(t)$ be the solution of the normalized Chern-Ricci flow (1.1) starting at a Hermitian metric of the form

$$\omega_0 = \omega_{\text{LF}} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho > 0.$$

Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\omega(t) \rightarrow \omega_\infty,$$

uniformly on S and exponentially fast, where ω_∞ is the $(1,1)$ form defined above. Moreover,

$$(S, \omega(t)) \rightarrow (S^1, d),$$

in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, where d is the standard metric on the circle S^1 (of radius depending on S).

Thus we prove that the Chern-Ricci flow collapses a Hermitian metric ω on the Inoue surface S to a circle, *modulo an initial conformal change to ω* . In fact, we prove more than this, since we allow our initial metric to be any metric in the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of $e^\sigma\omega$.

Our conformal change is related to a holomorphic foliation structure on the Inoue surface S , which we now explain. Every Inoue surface S carries a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ without singularities, whose leaves are the images of $\mathbb{C} \times \{z_2\}$, for every $z_2 \in H$, under the quotient map $\mathbb{C} \times H \rightarrow S$. In other words, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by the subbundle of TS which is the image of $T\mathbb{C} \oplus \{0\}$ under the differential of the quotient map. Every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ if S is of type S_M , and biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ if S is of type S^+ or S^- (see [3], for example). We will say that a Hermitian metric ω on S is *flat along the leaves* if the restriction of ω to every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a flat Kähler metric on $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}^*$. Equivalently, after pullback to the universal cover $\mathbb{C} \times H$, the metric restricted to every slice $\mathbb{C} \times \{z_2\}$, $z_2 \in H$, is flat. If ω has the further property that after pullback to $\mathbb{C} \times H$ its restriction to $\mathbb{C} \times \{z_2\}$ equals $c(\text{Im} z_2)\sqrt{-1}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1$ when S is of type S_M and $c\sqrt{-1}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1$ when S is of type S^+ or S^- , where $c > 0$ is a constant, then we will say that ω is *strongly flat along the leaves*. This is the property that we require of our metric ω_{LF} in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

The point is that the assumption of being strongly flat along the leaves is not in fact very restrictive, since it can always be attained from any Hermitian metric by a conformal change:

Proposition 1.2. *Given any Hermitian metric ω on an Inoue surface, there exists a smooth function σ on S such that $\omega_{\text{LF}} := e^\sigma\omega$ is strongly flat along the leaves.*

There is a well-developed theory of simultaneous uniformization of leaves of holomorphic foliations by complex curves (see e.g. [2, 4, 7]), but it turns out that in our explicit situation the proof of this proposition becomes very simple. As far as we know, it may well be the case that every Hermitian metric on an Inoue surface belongs to the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of a Hermitian metric which is strongly flat along the leaves (see section 4, Question 1).

An interesting question is whether the uniform (C^0) convergence in Theorem 1.1 of $\omega(t)$ to ω_∞ can be strengthened to smooth (C^∞) convergence. As a partial result in this direction, we show that if the initial metric is of a more restricted type, then we can obtain convergence in C^α for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

More precisely, Tricerri [36] and Vaisman [37] constructed an explicit, homogeneous, Gauduchon metric ω_{TV} on each Inoue surface, which is strongly flat along the leaves. We consider initial metrics in the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of ω_{TV} and prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be an Inoue surface, and let $\omega(t)$ be the solution of the normalized Chern-Ricci flow (1.1) starting at a Hermitian metric of the form*

$$\omega_0 = \omega_{TV} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho > 0.$$

Then the metrics $\omega(t)$ are uniformly bounded in the C^1 topology, and as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\omega(t) \rightarrow \omega_\infty,$$

in the C^α topology, for every $0 < \alpha < 1$.

A remark about the notation ω_{TV} above. In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will write ω_T instead of ω_{TV} for the Tricerri [36] metric on S_M , and ω_V for the metric of Vaisman [37] on S^+ or S^- .

We note that the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are formally quite similar to the arguments of [34] which considered the Chern-Ricci flow on elliptic bundles. In fact one can use these same arguments to extend the validity of the main theorem of [34] to some Hermitian metrics on elliptic bundles which are not Gauduchon.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Proposition 1.2 and Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 for the Inoue surfaces of type S_M . Those of type S^+ and S^- are dealt with in section 3. Lastly, in section 4 we pose several conjectures and open problems on the Chern-Ricci flow.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Xiaokui Yang for some helpful conversations. This work was carried out while the first and fourth named authors were visiting the Mathematics Department at Northwestern University and they thank the department for its hospitality and for providing a good academic environment.

2. THE INOUE SURFACES S_M

We recall now the construction of the Inoue surfaces S_M . They are defined by $S_M = (\mathbb{C} \times H)/\Gamma$, for H the upper half plane, by a group of automorphisms Γ of $\mathbb{C} \times H$ which we now describe.

Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with one real eigenvalue $\lambda > 1$ and two complex eigenvalues $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ (with $\mu \neq \bar{\mu}$). Let (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3) be a real eigenvector for λ and (m_1, m_2, m_3) an eigenvector for μ . Then Γ is defined to be the group generated by the four automorphisms

$$f_0(z_1, z_2) = (\mu z_1, \lambda z_2), \quad f_j(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + m_j, z_2 + \ell_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3.$$

Here, we are writing $z_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}y_1$ for the coordinate on $\mathbb{C}$ and $z_2 = x_2 + \sqrt{-1}y_2$ for the coordinate on $H = \{\mathrm{Im} z_2 = y_2 > 0\}$. The action

of Γ on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ is properly discontinuous with compact quotient. For our calculations, we may work in a single compact fundamental domain for S_M in $\mathbb{C} \times H$ using z_1, z_2 as local coordinates. We may assume that z_1 and z_2 are uniformly bounded, and that y_2 is uniformly bounded below away from zero.

On $\mathbb{C} \times H$, define nonnegative $(1, 1)$ forms α and β by

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^2} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2, \quad \beta = \sqrt{-1} y_2 dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1.$$

These forms are invariant under Γ and hence descend to $(1, 1)$ forms on the Inoue surface S_M , which we will denote by the same symbols. In addition, α is d -closed.

The metric $\omega_T := 4\alpha + \beta$ is called the Tricerri metric [36], and it was shown in [33] that the solution to the normalized Chern-Ricci flow starting at ω_T is given by

$$\omega(t) = e^{-t}\beta + (1 + 3e^{-t})\alpha \longrightarrow \alpha, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that the first Bott-Chern class $c_1^{\text{BC}}(S_M)$ can be represented by

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_T) = -\alpha \in c_1^{\text{BC}}(S_M).$$

The following lemma shows that every Hermitian metric ω on S_M is conformal to a metric which is strongly flat along the leaves. Recall from [12] that the map $\mathbb{C} \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto y_2$ induces a smooth map $p : S_M \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbb{R}^+/(x \sim \lambda x)$ which is a smooth T^3 -bundle. Every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is contained in a T^3 -fiber, and is in fact dense inside it.

Lemma 2.1. *A Hermitian metric ω_{LF} on S_M is flat along the leaves if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}} = (p^*\eta)\alpha \wedge \beta,$$

where $\eta : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a smooth positive function. It is strongly flat along the leaves if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}} = c\alpha \wedge \beta,$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. If ω is any Hermitian metric on S_M and we define $\sigma \in C^\infty(S_M)$ by

$$e^\sigma = \frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\alpha \wedge \omega},$$

then $\omega_{\text{LF}} = e^\sigma \omega$ satisfies (2.2) with $c = 1$ and hence is strongly flat along the leaves.

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C} \times H \rightarrow S_M$ be the quotient map. Then a Hermitian metric ω_{LF} on S_M is flat along the leaves if and only if its pullback $\pi^*\omega_{\text{LF}}$ is flat when restricted to any slice $\mathbb{C} \times \{z_2\}$, $z_2 \in H$. We can write

$$\pi^*\omega_{\text{LF}} = a\sqrt{-1}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + b\sqrt{-1}dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 + c\sqrt{-1}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 + \bar{c}\sqrt{-1}dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_1,$$

so that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}}}{\alpha \wedge \beta} = \frac{a}{y_2},$$

and (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{a}{y_2} = \pi^* p^* \eta.$$

Note that the function $\pi^* p^* \eta$ depends only on y_2 . Since the restriction of $\pi^* \omega_{\text{LF}}$ to a slice $\mathbb{C} \times \{z_2\}$ equals $a\sqrt{-1}dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1$, and its Ricci curvature equals $-\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}} \log a$, we conclude that if (2.1) holds then ω_{LF} is flat along the leaves.

Conversely, if ω_{LF} is flat along the leaves, then for each fixed $z_2 \in H$ we have that

$$\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}} \log \left(\frac{a}{y_2} \right) = 0.$$

Thanks to (2.3) we see that the function $\log \frac{a}{y_2}$ on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ is Γ -invariant, hence bounded (because it is the pullback of a function from S_M). Therefore, $\log \frac{a}{y_2}$ for z_2 fixed is a bounded harmonic function on $\mathbb{C}$, and so it must be constant. In other words, the ratio $\frac{\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}}}{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ is constant along each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Since every leaf is dense in the T^3 fiber which contains it, we conclude that $\frac{\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}}}{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ equals the pullback of a function from S^1 .

On the other hand, it is now clear that ω is strongly flat along the leaves if and only if (2.2) holds, or equivalently,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{a}{y_2} = c,$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. The last assertion of the lemma is immediate. $\square$

Observe that in the coordinates z_1, z_2 above, the condition (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad (g_{\text{LF}})_{1\bar{1}} = cy_2,$$

where we are writing $\omega_{\text{LF}} = \sqrt{-1}(g_{\text{LF}})_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$.

Let now ω_{LF} be a metric which is strongly flat along the leaves, as in the set up of Theorem 1.1. We remark that in the following arguments this metric plays exactly the same role as the semi-flat metric ω_{flat} considered in [34] on elliptic bundles. We will write the normalized Chern-Ricci flow as a parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation. First, we define

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(t) = e^{-t} \omega_{\text{LF}} + (1 - e^{-t}) \alpha > 0,$$

and write $\tilde{g}$ for the Hermitian metric associated to $\tilde{\omega}$. We define a volume form Ω by

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega = 2\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}} = 2c\alpha \wedge \beta,$$

for c the constant defined by (2.2). Observe that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Omega = \alpha,$$

by direct calculation using (2.8). It follows that the normalized Chern-Ricci flow (1.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi = \log \frac{e^t(\tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^2}{\Omega} - \varphi, \quad \tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0, \quad \varphi(0) = \rho.$$

Namely, if φ solves (2.9) then $\omega(t) = \tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ solves (1.1), as is readily checked. Conversely, given a solution $\omega(t)$ of (1.1) we can find (cf. [32], for example) a solution $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ of (2.9) with the property that $\omega(t) = \tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$.

Let now $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ solve (2.9) and write $\omega = \omega(t) = \tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ for the corresponding metrics along the normalized Chern-Ricci flow. We first establish uniform estimates on the potential φ and its time derivative $\dot{\varphi}$. Given the choice of $\tilde{\omega}$ and Ω , the proof is formally almost identical to [28, Lemma 3.6.3, Lemma 3.6.7] (see also [25, 9, 6, 34]).

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a uniform positive constant C such that on $S_M \times [0, \infty)$,*

- (i) $|\varphi| \leq C(1+t)e^{-t}$.
- (ii) $|\dot{\varphi}| \leq C$.
- (iii) $C^{-1}\tilde{\omega}^2 \leq \omega^2 \leq C\tilde{\omega}^2$.

Proof. Since the arguments are so similar to those in [28, 9, 34], we will be brief. For (i), the key observation is that, by the choice of $\tilde{\omega}$ and Ω , we have

$$(2.10) \quad \left| e^t \log \frac{e^t \tilde{\omega}^2}{\Omega} \right| \leq C',$$

for uniform C' . To see this, note that from (2.7) and (2.8),

$$e^t \log \frac{e^t \tilde{\omega}^2}{\Omega} = e^t \log \left(\frac{2\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}} + e^{-t}(\omega_{\text{LF}}^2 - 2\omega_{\text{LF}} \wedge \alpha)}{2\alpha \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}}} \right) = e^t \log(1 + O(e^{-t})),$$

and this is bounded. If we define $Q = e^t \varphi - (C' + 1)t$, we see that if $\sup_{S_M \times [0, t_0]} Q = Q(x_0, t_0)$ for some $x_0 \in S_M$ and $t_0 > 0$, we have at that point,

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \leq e^t \log \frac{e^t \tilde{\omega}^2}{\Omega} - C' - 1 \leq -1,$$

a contradiction. It follows that $\sup_{S_M} Q$ is bounded from above by its value at time 0, giving $\varphi \leq C(1+t)e^{-t}$. The lower bound is similar.

To prove (ii), choose a constant C_0 so that $C_0\tilde{\omega} > \alpha$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then compute, for $\Delta = g^{i\bar{j}}\partial_i\partial_{\bar{j}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (\dot{\varphi} - (C_0 - 1)\varphi) &= \text{tr}_{\omega}(\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) + 1 - C_0\dot{\varphi} + (C_0 - 1)\text{tr}_{\omega}(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\ &< 1 - C_0\dot{\varphi} + 2(C_0 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the maximum principle that $\dot{\varphi}$ is bounded from above. For the lower bound of $\dot{\varphi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (\dot{\varphi} + 2\varphi) &= \text{tr}_\omega(\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) + 1 + \dot{\varphi} - 2\text{tr}_\omega(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\ &\geq \text{tr}_\omega \tilde{\omega} + \dot{\varphi} - 3 \geq \frac{1}{C} e^{-(\dot{\varphi} + \varphi)/2} + \dot{\varphi} - 3, \end{aligned}$$

for a uniform $C > 0$, where for the last inequality we have used the geometric-arithmetic means inequality, the equation (2.9) and the fact that $e^t \tilde{\omega}^2$ and Ω are uniformly equivalent. It follows from the maximum principle that $\dot{\varphi}$ is bounded from below.

Finally, (iii) is a consequence of (i), (ii) and the equation (2.9). $\square$

Next, we bound the torsion and curvature of the reference metrics $\tilde{g}$. We will denote the Chern connection, torsion and curvature of $\tilde{g}$ by $\tilde{\nabla}$, $\tilde{T}$ and $\widetilde{\text{Rm}}$ respectively.

We lower an index of the torsion into the third subscript, so

$$\tilde{T}_{ij\bar{\ell}} := \tilde{g}_{k\bar{\ell}} \tilde{T}_{ij}^k = \partial_i \tilde{g}_{j\bar{\ell}} - \partial_j \tilde{g}_{i\bar{\ell}}.$$

Writing T_{LF} for the torsion of the metric g_{LF} , we see that since α is a closed form, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{T}_{ij\bar{\ell}} = e^{-t} (T_{\text{LF}})_{ij\bar{\ell}}.$$

We prove the following bounds on the torsion and curvature of $\tilde{g}$, which are analogous to those in [34, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a uniform constant C such that*

- (i) $|\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$.
- (ii) $|\tilde{\partial}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C e^{t/2}$.

Proof. Using the holomorphic coordinates as described above and (2.6), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} &= e^{-t} c y_2, & \tilde{g}_{1\bar{2}} &= e^{-t} (g_{\text{LF}})_{1\bar{2}}, \\ \tilde{g}_{2\bar{2}} &= e^{-t} (g_{\text{LF}})_{2\bar{2}} + (1 - e^{-t}) \frac{1}{4y_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are working in a fixed chart with y_2 uniformly bounded (both from above and below away from zero), we have the estimates

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} C^{-1} e^{-t} &\leq \tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} \leq C e^{-t}, & C^{-1} e^t &\leq \tilde{g}^{1\bar{1}} \leq C e^t \\ C^{-1} &\leq \tilde{g}_{2\bar{2}} \leq C, & C^{-1} &\leq \tilde{g}^{2\bar{2}} \leq C \\ |\tilde{g}_{1\bar{2}}| &\leq C e^{-t}, & |\tilde{g}^{1\bar{2}}| &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that these estimates are identical to the local estimates given in [34, Lemma 4.1], where here z_1 plays the role of the “fiber” direction and z_2 the role of the “base” direction.

As in [34], (i) follows from (2.11). Indeed,

$$|\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = e^{-2t} \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} \tilde{g}^{k\bar{\ell}} \tilde{g}^{p\bar{q}} (T_{\text{LF}})_{ik\bar{q}} \overline{(T_{\text{LF}})_{j\bar{\ell}p}} \leq C,$$

since the only terms involving the cube of $\tilde{g}^{1\bar{1}}$ vanish by the skew-symmetry of $(T_{\text{LF}})_{ik\bar{q}}$ in i and k , and by (2.13) all other terms are bounded.

Next we have a bound on the $\tilde{g}$ norm of the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p$ of the Chern connection of $\tilde{g}$:

$$(2.14) \quad |\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p|_{\tilde{g}}^2 := \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} \tilde{g}^{k\bar{\ell}} \tilde{g}_{p\bar{q}} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_{j\bar{\ell}}^q} = \tilde{g}^{i\bar{j}} \tilde{g}^{k\bar{\ell}} \tilde{g}^{p\bar{q}} \partial_i \tilde{g}_{k\bar{q}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \tilde{g}_{p\bar{\ell}} \leq C e^t.$$

This follows from (2.13) and the fact that all terms $\partial_i \tilde{g}_{k\bar{q}}$ are of order $O(e^{-t})$ unless $i = k = q = 2$. Note that the quantity $|\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^p|_{\tilde{g}}^2$ is only locally defined.

Then from (2.11),

$$|\partial \tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = |\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\ell}} \tilde{T}_{ij\bar{k}}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = e^{-2t} |\partial_{\bar{\ell}} (T_{\text{LF}})_{ij\bar{k}} - \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\ell k}^r} (T_{\text{LF}})_{ij\bar{r}}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq C e^t,$$

where for the last inequality we have used (2.14) and the estimates

$$|\partial_{\bar{\ell}} (T_{\text{LF}})_{ij\bar{k}}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq C e^{3t}, \quad |(T_{\text{LF}})_{ij\bar{r}}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq C e^{2t},$$

which follow from (2.13) and the skew symmetry of torsion. Similarly, we obtain

$$|\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 \leq C e^t,$$

and it remains to bound the curvature of $\tilde{g}$.

Recall that the curvature of the Chern connection of $\tilde{g}$ is given by

$$\tilde{R}_{ij\bar{k}\bar{\ell}} = -\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \tilde{g}_{k\bar{\ell}} + \tilde{g}^{p\bar{q}} \partial_i \tilde{g}_{k\bar{q}} \partial_{\bar{j}} \tilde{g}_{p\bar{\ell}}.$$

Noting that from (2.12),

$$\partial_1 \partial_{\bar{1}} \tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} = 0, \quad |\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \tilde{g}_{k\bar{\ell}}| \leq C e^{-t}, \quad \text{if } (i, j, k, \ell) \neq (2, 2, 2, 2),$$

and

$$\partial_1 \tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} = 0, \quad |\partial_k \tilde{g}_{i\bar{j}}| \leq C e^{-t}, \quad \text{if } (i, j, k) \neq (2, 2, 2),$$

we obtain using (2.13),

$$|\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}1\bar{1}}| \leq C e^{-2t}.$$

Moreover, straightforward computations, similar to those in [34, Lemma 4.1], give $|\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}}| \leq C$, and

$$|\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}}| \leq C e^{-t}, \quad \text{for } i, j, k, \ell \text{ not all equal.}$$

It then follows, by considering the various cases, again as in [34], that

$$|\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}}^2 = \tilde{g}^{i\bar{q}} \tilde{g}^{p\bar{j}} \tilde{g}^{k\bar{s}} \tilde{g}^{r\bar{\ell}} \tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} \overline{\tilde{R}_{q\bar{p}s\bar{r}}} \leq C e^t,$$

as required. $\square$

We can then apply, almost verbatim, the arguments of [34] to establish estimates for solutions of the normalized Chern-Ricci flow on S_M . There are some minor differences, which we now discuss. In [34], the reference $(1,1)$ forms $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ are positive definite only for t sufficiently large, whereas here they are positive definite for all $t \geq 0$. In [34] there is a global holomorphic surjective map π from the manifold to a Riemann surface (S, ω_S) , and the $(1,1)$ form $\pi^*\omega_S$ plays the role of α . In our case, α is not globally of this form. Nevertheless, we will see that the arguments still go through without trouble.

Theorem 2.4. *For $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ solving (2.9) on S_M , the following estimates hold.*

(i) *There exists a uniform constant C such that*

$$C^{-1}\tilde{\omega} \leq \omega(t) \leq C\tilde{\omega}.$$

(ii) *There exists a uniform constant C such that the Chern scalar curvature satisfies the bound*

$$-C \leq R \leq Ce^{t/2}.$$

(iii) *For any η, σ with $0 < \eta < 1/2$ and $0 < \sigma < 1/4$, there exists a constant $C_{\eta, \sigma}$ such that*

$$-C_{\eta, \sigma}e^{-\eta t} \leq \dot{\varphi} \leq C_{\eta, \sigma}e^{-\sigma t}.$$

(iv) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/8$ there exists a constant C_ε such that*

$$(1 - C_\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon t})\tilde{\omega} \leq \omega(t) \leq (1 + C_\varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon t})\tilde{\omega}.$$

Proof. Since the proof is almost identical to the arguments of [34], given Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we give only a brief outline, pointing out the main differences.

To show that the metrics ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are uniformly equivalent, we apply the maximum principle to the quantity

$$Q = \log \operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega - Ae^{t/2}\varphi + \frac{1}{\tilde{C} + e^{t/2}\varphi},$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a uniform constant chosen so that $\tilde{C} + e^{t/2}\varphi \geq 1$, and for A a uniform large constant. A key point is that by Lemma 2.2, the quantity $e^{t/2}\varphi$ is uniformly bounded. The term $1/(\tilde{C} + e^{t/2}\varphi)$, of Phong-Sturm type [22], is added to deal with torsion terms arising in the computation (cf. [32]). Arguing as in [34, Theorem 5.1], choosing A sufficiently large, Q , and hence $\operatorname{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega$, is uniformly bounded from above. Then from part (iii) of Lemma 2.2, we obtain $C^{-1}\tilde{\omega} \leq \omega \leq C\tilde{\omega}$.

The lower bound $R \geq -C$ follows immediately from the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} = \Delta R + |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 + R,$$

and the minimum principle. The upper bound of R requires a number of preliminary estimates, which we now explain.

As in [34, Lemma 6.2], we have the evolution inequalities

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega &\leq -C^{-1} |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 + Ce^{t/2} \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha &\leq |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 - C^{-1} |\nabla \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha|_g^2 + Ce^{t/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that there exist uniform constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (\text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha + C_0 \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega) \leq -|\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 - C_1^{-1} |\nabla \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha|_g^2 + Ce^{t/2}.$$

Indeed, the first evolution inequality in (2.15) follows easily from the evolution equation of $\text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega$. The second inequality of (2.15) is a parabolic Schwarz Lemma argument as in [39, 25]. An important difference to note here is that we do not have a global holomorphic map from S_M to a lower dimensional complex manifold. However, we do have a *locally defined* holomorphic map f from a holomorphic chart in S_M to the upper half plane H with the property that $\alpha = f^* \omega_P$, for ω_P the Poincaré metric

$$\omega_P = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^2} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2,$$

on H . Since the parabolic Schwarz Lemma computation is purely local, we obtain the second inequality of (2.15) exactly as in [34].

Now consider the bounded quantity

$$u = \varphi + \dot{\varphi},$$

which has the property that $-\Delta u = R + \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha \geq R$. Our goal is to bound $-\Delta u$ from above by $Ce^{t/2}$. First, using a Cheng-Yau [5] type argument (cf. [23, 25]), we apply the maximum principle to

$$Q_1 = \frac{|\nabla u|_g^2}{A - u} + C_1 (\text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha + C_0 \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega),$$

for A and C_1 chosen sufficiently large, we obtain the estimate

$$|\nabla u|_g^2 \leq Ce^{t/2},$$

exactly as in [34, Proposition 6.3] (replacing ω_S with α , wherever it occurs). A straightforward computation then gives

$$(2.17) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) |\nabla u|_g^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \bar{\nabla} u|_g^2 - |\nabla \nabla u|_g^2 + |\nabla \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha|_g^2 + |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 + Ce^t.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (-\Delta u) \leq 2 |\nabla \bar{\nabla} u|_g^2 - \Delta u + Ce^{t/2} + |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 - C^{-1} |\nabla \text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha|_g^2.$$

Combining (2.16), (2.17), (2.18), we obtain, for C_1 large,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) (-\Delta u + 6 |\nabla u|_g^2 + C_1 (\text{tr}_{\omega} \alpha + C_0 \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega)) \leq -|\nabla \bar{\nabla} u|_g^2 - \Delta u + Ce^t,$$

and it follows from the maximum principle that $-\Delta u \leq Ce^{t/2}$, giving the required upper bound for the Chern scalar curvature

$$R \leq Ce^{t/2}.$$

Next we use our bounds on R together with Lemma 2.2 and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{\varphi} = -R - 1 - \dot{\varphi},$$

to get, exactly as in [34, Lemma 6.4] (cf. [28]), the bound (iii) on $\dot{\varphi}$.

For (iv), we first have, as in [34, Lemma 7.3], that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \text{tr}_\omega \tilde{\omega} \leq Ce^{t/2} - C^{-1} |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2.$$

Using this, and the bounds we have now established for φ and $\dot{\varphi}$, we consider the quantities

$$e^{\varepsilon t} (\text{tr}_\omega \tilde{\omega} - 2) - e^{\delta t} \varphi, \quad e^{\varepsilon t} (\text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega - 2) - e^{\delta' t} \varphi,$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ and $\delta, \delta' > 0$ chosen carefully. The maximum principle argument of [34, Proposition 7.3] shows that

$$\text{tr}_\omega \tilde{\omega} - 2 \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon t}, \quad \text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega - 2 \leq Ce^{-\varepsilon t}.$$

Part (iv) now follows from an elementary argument [34, Lemma 7.4]. $\square$

Given the estimate (iv) of Theorem 2.4, we can now establish the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of the metrics $\omega(t)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for the Inoue surfaces S_M . We set $\omega_\infty = \alpha$, which represents $-c_1^{\text{BC}}(S_M)$, and by definition $\tilde{\omega}(t) \rightarrow \alpha$ uniformly and exponentially fast as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thanks to Theorem 2.4 (iv), the same convergence holds for $\omega(t)$, and it remains to determine the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of $(S_M, \omega(t))$. The argument is a slight modification of [33, Theorem 5.1] (see also [34, Lemma 9.1]). Let $p : S_M \rightarrow S^1$ be the bundle projection map, and denote by $T_y = p^{-1}(y)$ the T^3 -fiber over $y \in S^1$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and let L_t be the length of a curve in S_M measured with respect to $\omega(t)$ and by d_t the induced distance function on S_M . Let also L_∞, d_∞ be the length and distance functions of the degenerate metric α on S_M and let L, d be those of the standard metric on S^1 with diameter $(\log \lambda)/\sqrt{2}\pi$. Let $F = p : S_M \rightarrow S^1$ and let $G : S^1 \rightarrow S_M$ be the map defined by sending every point $y \in S^1$ to some chosen point in S_M on the fiber T_y . The map G will in general be discontinuous, and it satisfies $F \circ G = \text{Id}$, so

$$(2.19) \quad d(y, F(G(y))) = 0.$$

The limiting semipositive form α has kernel equal to $\mathcal{F}$, and since each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is dense in a T^3 -fiber, we conclude that $d_\infty(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in S_M$ with $p(x) = p(y)$. Since $\omega(t)$ converges uniformly to α as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we see that for any $x \in S_M$ and for all t large we have

$$(2.20) \quad d_t(x, G(F(x))) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Next, a simple calculation (see [33, Theorem 5.1]) shows that for any curve γ in S_M we have

$$L(F(\gamma)) = L_\infty(\gamma).$$

Therefore, given two points $x, y \in S_M$ let γ be a minimizing geodesic for the metric $\omega(t)$ joining them, and get

$$(2.21) \quad d(F(x), F(y)) \leq L(F(\gamma)) = L_\infty(\gamma) \leq L_t(\gamma) + \varepsilon = d_t(x, y) + \varepsilon,$$

for all t large. Obviously this also implies that

$$(2.22) \quad d(x, y) \leq d_t(G(x), G(y)) + \varepsilon,$$

for all $x, y \in S^1$, and all t large. Lastly, given $x, y \in S_M$, let γ be a minimizing geodesic in S^1 connecting $F(x)$ and $F(y)$, and let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a lift of the curve γ starting at x , i.e. $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a curve in S_M with $F(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$ and initial point x . This lift can always be constructed because F is a fiber bundle. We then concatenate $\tilde{\gamma}$ with a curve $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ contained in the fiber $T_{F(y)}$ joining the endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with y , and obtain a curve $\hat{\gamma}$ in S_M joining x and y . By construction we have

$$(2.23) \quad d_t(x, y) \leq L_t(\hat{\gamma}) = L_t(\tilde{\gamma}) + L_t(\tilde{\gamma}_1) \leq L_\infty(\tilde{\gamma}) + 2\varepsilon = L(\gamma) + 2\varepsilon = d(F(x), F(y)) + 2\varepsilon,$$

for all t large. This also implies

$$(2.24) \quad d_t(G(x), G(y)) \leq d(x, y) + 2\varepsilon,$$

for all $x, y \in S^1$, and all t large. Combining (2.19), (2.20), (2.21), (2.22), (2.23), (2.24), we conclude that $(S_M, \omega(t))$ converges to (S^1, d) in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. $\square$

We now turn to Theorem 1.3. Our main claim is that if the initial metric is in the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of the Tricerri metric $\omega_T = 4\alpha + \beta$, then we have the estimate

$$(2.25) \quad |\nabla_T g|_{g_T} \leq C,$$

for all t large, where ∇_T is the covariant derivative of g_T . Clearly, given the results proved in Theorem 1.1, we see that Theorem 1.3 follows immediately from (2.25).

To prove (2.25) the first step is the following improvement of Lemma 2.3. Here, ω_T plays the role of ω_{LF} . In particular, $\alpha \wedge \omega_T = \alpha \wedge \beta$, so that the analogue of (2.2) holds with $c = 1$. We have

$$\tilde{\omega} = e^{-t}\omega_T + (1 - e^{-t})\alpha.$$

These are completely explicit Hermitian metrics on S_M . They have the property that $\omega = \tilde{\omega} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$, where φ solves (2.9) (with $\Omega = 2\alpha \wedge \beta$).

Lemma 2.5. *There exists a uniform constant C such that*

- (i) $|\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$.
- (ii) $|\tilde{\partial}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$.
- (iii) $\widetilde{\nabla\text{Rm}} = 0, \widetilde{\nabla\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}} = 0, \widetilde{\nabla\tilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}} = 0$.

Proof. Part (i) was proved in Lemma 2.3. The rest of the lemma consists of straightforward, if somewhat tedious, calculations. Using the holomorphic coordinates as described earlier, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} &= e^{-t}y_2, & \tilde{g}_{1\bar{2}} &= 0, & \tilde{g}_{2\bar{2}} &= \frac{1+3e^{-t}}{4y_2^2}, \\ \tilde{g}^{1\bar{1}} &= \frac{e^t}{y_2}, & \tilde{g}^{1\bar{2}} &= 0, & \tilde{g}^{2\bar{2}} &= \frac{4y_2^2}{1+3e^{-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that the only nonzero Christoffel symbols are

$$(2.26) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2y_2}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y_2},$$

and note that these do not depend on t . The only nonzero components of the torsion $\tilde{T}$ and its derivative $\tilde{\partial}\tilde{T}$ are

$$\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = -\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2y_2}, \quad \partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = -\partial_2\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \frac{1}{4y_2^2}.$$

It follows that $|\tilde{\partial}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$. The only nonzero components of $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}$ are

$$\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = -\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \frac{1}{4y_2^2},$$

and $|\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$ follows. The only nonzero components of $\widetilde{\text{Rm}}$ are

$$\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} = -\frac{1+3e^{-t}}{8y_2^4}, \quad \tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1\bar{1}} = \frac{e^{-t}}{4y_2},$$

and from this we obtain $|\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$, finishing the proof of (ii).

For (iii), the only terms which are not immediately seen to be zero are:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} = \partial_2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} - 2\tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} = -2\sqrt{-1}\frac{1+3e^{-t}}{8y_2^5} + 2\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{y_2} \cdot \frac{1+3e^{-t}}{8y_2^4} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1\bar{1}} = \partial_2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1\bar{1}} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1\bar{1}} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^1\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1\bar{1}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}e^{-t}}{8y_2^2} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}e^{-t}}{8y_2^2} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = \partial_2\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^3} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^3} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = \partial_2\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^1\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 + \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^1\partial_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^3} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4y_2^3} = 0,$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

The estimates of Theorem 2.4 imply in particular that the metrics $\tilde{g}$ and g are uniformly equivalent. The key additional estimate we obtain in this case is the following Calabi-type “third order” estimate.

Proposition 2.6. *We have*

$$(2.27) \quad |\tilde{\nabla} g|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$$

Proof. This is analogous to the Calabi-type estimates established in [24], [34, Section 8] (see also [21]). The result that we need is not exactly contained in [24], but the adjustments needed are minimal, so we will only indicate what changes in this case. We bound the quantity $S := |\tilde{\nabla} g|_g^2 = |\Psi|_g^2$, where $\Psi = \Gamma - \tilde{\Gamma}$. The quantity S is equivalent to $|\tilde{\nabla} g|_{\tilde{g}}^2$.

First, compared to the setup in [24], the Chern-Ricci flow that we consider now is normalized. However, this only introduces new negligible terms. Second, the reference metrics $\tilde{g}$ now depend on t , while the reference metric $\hat{g}$ in [24] is fixed. But the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are independent of t and so this does not introduce any new terms in the evolution of S .

The time dependence of $\tilde{g}$ *does* introduce a new term in the evolution of $\text{tr}_{\tilde{\omega}} \omega$, but this is easily seen to be harmless (cf. [34, (6.1)]). Thanks to [24, Remark 3.1], the bounds proved in Lemma 2.5 are then sufficient to bound S and thus obtain (2.27). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for the Inoue surfaces S_M . We can now complete the proof of (2.25), which implies Theorem 1.3. The first observation is that the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\tilde{g}$, which we computed in (2.26), do not depend on t , and so the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}$ with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}$ equals the covariant derivative ∇_T with respect to $\tilde{g}|_{t=0} = g_T$. The second observation is that $\tilde{g} \leq C g_T$ for a uniform constant C . Therefore (2.25) follows immediately from (2.27). $\square$

3. THE INOUE SURFACES S^+ AND S^-

We recall now the construction of the Inoue surfaces S^+ from [12] (see also [33, Section 6]). Consider $N = (n_{ij}) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with two real eigenvalues $\alpha, 1/\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1$, and let (a_1, a_2) and (b_1, b_2) be real eigenvectors corresponding to α and $1/\alpha$ respectively.

Fix integers p, q, r , with $r \neq 0$, and a complex number $\mathbf{t}$. With this data, let $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ solve the linear equation

$$(c_1, c_2) = (c_1, c_2) \cdot N^t + (e_1, e_2) + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r}(p, q),$$

where

$$e_i = \frac{1}{2} n_{i1} (n_{i1} - 1) a_1 b_1 + \frac{1}{2} n_{i2} (n_{i2} - 1) a_2 b_2 + n_{i1} n_{i2} b_1 a_2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now let Γ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C} \times H$ generated by

$$f_0(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + \mathbf{t}, \alpha z_2),$$

$$f_j(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + b_j z_2 + c_j, z_2 + a_j), \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2,$$

$$\text{and } f_3(z_1, z_2) = \left(z_1 + \frac{b_1 a_2 - b_2 a_1}{r}, z_2 \right).$$

The group Γ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{C} \times H$ with compact quotient $S^+ = (\mathbb{C} \times H)/\Gamma$. For each such N, p, q, r and $\mathbf{t}$, S^+ is an Inoue surface. As in Section 2, we may work in a local holomorphic coordinate chart with z_1 and z_2 uniformly bounded, and y_2 uniformly bounded below away from zero.

We will not give the precise definition of Inoue surfaces of type S^- (see e.g. [12, 33]) because their only property that we will need is that every such surface has an unramified double cover which is an Inoue surface of type S^+ , and we can easily derive the statements of our theorems on S^- from the corresponding statements on S^+ .

Therefore, going back to S^+ , since $\alpha > 1$, we can write $\text{Im} \mathbf{t} = m \log \alpha$ for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the $(1, 0)$ forms on $H \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$\frac{1}{y_2} dz_2, \quad dz_1 - \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{y_2} dz_2,$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}y_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + \sqrt{-1}y_2$, are invariant under the Γ -action, and so descend to S^+ . Define $(1, 1)$ forms α', γ on S^+ by

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{2y_2^2} \sqrt{-1} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2,$$

$$\gamma = \sqrt{-1} \left(dz_1 - \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{y_2} dz_2 \right) \wedge \left(d\bar{z}_1 - \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{y_2} d\bar{z}_2 \right).$$

Then

$$\omega_V = 2\alpha' + \gamma$$

is a Hermitian metric, originally introduced by Vaisman [37] (and Tricerri in the case $m = 0$ [36]). Moreover,

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_V) = -\alpha' \in c_1^{\text{BC}}(S^+).$$

It is shown in [32] that the solution of the normalized Chern-Ricci flow starting at ω_V is given by

$$\omega(t) = e^{-t}\gamma + (1 + e^{-t})\alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha', \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, as in Lemma 2.1, we see that a metric ω_{LF} on S^+ is strongly flat along the leaves if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha' \wedge \omega_{\text{LF}} = c\alpha' \wedge \gamma,$$

for some positive constant c . Indeed, this easily follows from the fact that in the coordinates z_1, z_2 above, the condition (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.2) \quad (g_{\text{LF}})_{1\bar{1}} = c.$$

Moreover, given any Hermitian metric ω on S^+ , we can produce $\omega_{\text{LF}} = e^\sigma \omega$ satisfying this condition, for suitable choice of σ .

Given this set-up, and what we have already proved, it is straightforward to complete the proof of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for the manifolds S^+ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for the Inoue surfaces S^+ and S^- . The proof for the surfaces S^+ is almost identical to the case of S_M . Indeed, we simply replace α by α' and note that the condition (2.6) has been replaced by the (even simpler) condition (3.2). The same estimates as in Lemma 2.3 hold almost verbatim, and similarly for Theorem 2.4. To obtain the Gromov-Hausdorff convergence to (S^1, d) , we apply the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the only difference being that now S^+ is a fiber bundle over S^1 with fiber a certain compact 3-manifold (not a torus), but the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are still dense in these fibers (see e.g. [33, Lemma 6.2]), and the argument goes through as before.

Finally, the result for S^- follows from the fact every such surface has an unramified double cover which is an Inoue surface of type S^+ (cf. [33, Section 7]). $\square$

We finally discuss Theorem 1.3 for the Inoue surfaces S^+ and S^- . As before, the case of S^- is immediately reduced to the case of S^+ . The proof for the surfaces S^+ is similar to the case of S_M , but there are some differences. We now assume that the initial metric is in the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of the Vaisman metric $\omega_V = 2\alpha' + \gamma$, and our goal is to prove the estimate

$$(3.3) \quad |\nabla_V g|_{g_V} \leq C,$$

for all t large, where ∇_V is the covariant derivative of g_V . Again, this immediately implies the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 in this setting. As before, we have the explicit reference metrics on S^+

$$\tilde{\omega} = e^{-t}\omega_V + (1 - e^{-t})\alpha'.$$

The analog of Lemma 2.5 is now:

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a uniform constant C such that*

- (i) $|\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$
- (ii) $|\partial\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$
- (iii) $|\widetilde{\nabla\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\nabla\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}}|_{\tilde{g}} + |\widetilde{\nabla\tilde{T}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$

Proof. Again, item (i) was proved in Lemma 2.3. The rest of the lemma follows from a long, direct calculation. The situation is considerably more complicated than in Lemma 2.5 because now very few terms vanish.

Using the holomorphic coordinates as described earlier, we have

$$\tilde{g}_{1\bar{1}} = e^{-t}, \quad \tilde{g}_{1\bar{2}} = -e^{-t} \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{y_2}, \quad \tilde{g}_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{1 + e^{-t} + 2e^{-t}(y_1 - m \log y_2)^2}{2y_2^2},$$

$$\tilde{g}^{1\bar{1}} = O(e^t), \quad \tilde{g}^{1\bar{2}} = O(1), \quad \tilde{g}^{2\bar{2}} = O(1).$$

None of the Christoffel symbols of $\tilde{g}$ vanishes, but their components in these coordinates are readily seen to be uniformly bounded as t approaches infinity, which shows that

$$(3.4) \quad |\tilde{\Gamma} - \Gamma_V|_{g_V} \leq C,$$

where Γ_V are the Christoffel symbols of g_V . For later use, we mention explicitly that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^2 &= \sqrt{-1} \frac{y_2}{1+e^t} = O(e^{-t}), \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^2 &= -\sqrt{-1} \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{1+e^t} = O(e^{-t}), \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^2 &= -\sqrt{-1} \frac{m}{1+e^t} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{y_1 - m \log y_2}{1+e^t} = O(e^{-t}). \end{aligned}$$

The torsion of $\tilde{g}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{12}^1 &= -\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2y_2} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{m(y_1 - m \log y_2)}{y_2(1+e^t)}, \\ \tilde{T}_{12}^2 &= -\tilde{T}_{21}^2 = \sqrt{-1} \frac{m}{1+e^t}, \end{aligned}$$

and the only nonzero components of $\bar{\partial}\tilde{T}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{1}}\tilde{T}_{12}^1 &= -\partial_{\bar{1}}\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = -\frac{m}{2y_2(1+e^t)}, \\ \partial_{\bar{2}}\tilde{T}_{12}^1 &= -\partial_{\bar{2}}\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \frac{1}{4y_2^2} + \frac{m(y_1 - m \log y_2) + m^2}{2y_2^2(1+e^t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $|\bar{\partial}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$ follows easily. Next, by direct calculation, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_1\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = O(e^{-t}), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{12}^1 = O(1), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_1\tilde{T}_{12}^2 = O(e^{-t}), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_2\tilde{T}_{12}^2 = O(e^{-t}),$$

and $|\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$ follows. To bound $|\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}}$ we recall that $\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell = -\partial_{\bar{j}}\tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^\ell$.

Direct calculations show that $\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}1}^2 = 0$, the terms

$$\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2}^2, \tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2}^1, \tilde{R}_{1\bar{2}2}^1, \tilde{R}_{2\bar{1}2}^1, \tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1}^1$$

are of order $O(1)$ or better, while all other terms are of order $O(e^{-t})$ or better. It follows that $|\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$. This proves (ii).

As for (iii), this is the longest part of the calculation. Direct computations show that the components of the tensor $\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{p}}\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{q}}\tilde{T}_{ij}^k$ with $k = 2$ all vanish, while the components with $k = 1$ are $O(e^{-t})$, and this gives $|\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$. For $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}$, the term $\tilde{\nabla}_1\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{T}_{12}^2$ is $O(e^{-2t})$ and all other components are $O(e^{-t})$ or better. This gives $|\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$.

For the derivative of curvature, we note that the terms

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2}^2, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2}^1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}2}^1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{2}2}^1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{1}2}^1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{2}1}^1$$

are $O(1)$ or better. The terms

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}1}^1, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}1}^2, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{2}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}1}^2, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{2\bar{1}1}^2, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{2}1}^2, \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{1}}\tilde{R}_{1\bar{1}2}^2$$

are $O(e^{-2t})$ and all other components of the tensor $\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{p}}\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k}^\ell$ are $O(e^{-t})$ or better. This finally gives $|\tilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\text{Rm}}|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C$. $\square$

We now wish to use these bounds, as in Proposition 2.6, to obtain the estimate

$$(3.6) \quad |\tilde{\nabla}g|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$$

A new complication that arises in this case is that the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}$ now *do* depend on t . From

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \tilde{g}^{i\bar{q}}\tilde{\nabla}_j\alpha'_{k\bar{q}},$$

and comparing to the computation in [24], we observe that there is one new term in the evolution of the quantity $S = |\tilde{\nabla}g|_{\tilde{g}}^2$ of the form

$$(3.7) \quad -2\text{Re}\left(g_{i\bar{r}}g^{j\bar{u}}g^{k\bar{v}}\tilde{g}^{i\bar{q}}\tilde{\nabla}_j\alpha'_{k\bar{q}}\overline{\Psi_{uv}^r}\right),$$

where, as in [24], $\Psi_{uv}^r = \Gamma_{uv}^r - \tilde{\Gamma}_{uv}^r$.

We claim that

$$(3.8) \quad |\tilde{\nabla}\alpha'|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C.$$

Indeed, the only nonzero component of α' is $\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{1}{2y_2^2}$, and so the only nonzero components of $\tilde{\nabla}\alpha'$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_1\alpha'_{1\bar{2}} &= -\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} = O(e^{-t}), & \tilde{\nabla}_1\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} &= -\tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} = O(e^{-t}), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_2\alpha'_{1\bar{2}} &= -\tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} = O(e^{-t}), & \tilde{\nabla}_2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} &= \partial_2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^2\alpha'_{2\bar{2}} = O(1), \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (3.5), and (3.8) now follows. This shows that the new term (3.7) is of the order $O(\sqrt{S})$ and hence is a harmless contribution.

Next, we would like to use (3.6) to prove (3.3), but again we have to deal with the fact that, unlike the case of S_M with the Tricerri metric, the Christoffel symbols $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\tilde{g}$ now depend on t . However, we have the key relation (3.4).

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for the Inoue surfaces S^+ and S^- . In the case of S^+ , we use (3.6), (3.4) and the fact that $\tilde{g} \leq Cg_V$ to obtain

$$|\nabla_V g|_{g_V} \leq |\tilde{\nabla}g|_{g_V} + C \leq C|\tilde{\nabla}g|_{\tilde{g}} + C \leq C,$$

as required. The case of S^- follows by passing to a double cover. $\square$

4. FURTHER CONJECTURES

We discuss in this section a number of conjectures and open problems concerning the Chern-Ricci flow.

1. A natural conjecture, discussed in the introduction, is that the convergence result of Theorem 1.1 holds for *all* initial Hermitian metrics ω_0 . In fact, this would follow from Theorem 1.1 if one could show that every Hermitian metric on an Inoue surface belongs to the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of a Hermitian metric which is strongly flat along the leaves, a statement which can be reduced to solving a partial differential equation along the leaves. A weaker conjecture, but still interesting in light of the results of [32, 33, 34], would be that the convergence result of Theorem 1.1 holds for all *Gauduchon* ω_0 .
2. Another obvious conjecture, also mentioned in the introduction, is that the convergence of $\omega(t)$ to ω_∞ in Theorem 1.1 holds in the C^∞ topology. A starting point would be to prove it in the more restrictive setting of Theorem 1.3.
3. There are higher-dimensional analogues of Inoue surfaces, constructed by Oeljeklaus-Toma [20], and it is natural to conjecture that similar behavior occurs. Similarly, there are non-Kähler higher dimensional torus bundles over Riemann surfaces (see [31, Example 3.4]), and one would expect that at least some of the results of [34] on elliptic bundles should generalize to these.
4. It would be interesting to try to prove similar collapsing results on Hopf surfaces, the other family of Class VII surfaces with vanishing second Betti number. In [32, 33], explicit solutions were given of the unnormalized Chern-Ricci flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\omega = -\text{Ric}(\omega)$ on Hopf surfaces of the type $(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})/\sim$, where $(z_1, z_2) \sim (\alpha z_1, \beta z_2)$, for complex α, β with $|\alpha| = |\beta| \neq 1$. In the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, the metrics collapse to a circle in finite time [33]. Moreover, a uniform upper bound on the evolving metric was given in [32] starting at a metric in the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -class of the “standard” Hopf metric. It would be desirable to understand the limiting behavior of the flow starting at *any* Hermitian metric, on *any* Hopf surface. In the case of Hopf surfaces where $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$ or where $\sim$ is not of the type just described, no explicit solutions to the Chern-Ricci flow have even been constructed (see the discussion in [32, Section 8]).
5. Perhaps surprisingly, the behavior of the Chern-Ricci flow starting at a non-Kähler metric on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$ remains a mystery. One can easily compute that the volume of the metric tends to zero in a finite time T , and the volume shrinks like $(T-t)$, and this suggests that the flow should collapse to a Riemann surface. This would be in stark contrast with what happens when starting at a Kähler metric on $\mathbb{CP}^2$, where the

volume goes to zero like $(T - t)^2$ and the flow collapses in finite time to a point, thanks to Perelman's diameter bound (cf. [23]).

6. An optimistic conjecture would be that on any minimal Class VII surface with $b_2 > 0$, or on any Hopf surface, the solution $\omega(t)$ of the Chern-Ricci flow (which exists for a finite time T and has volume tending to zero as $t \rightarrow T$ [32]) should converge in C^∞ to a non-closed nonnegative $(1, 1)$ form α . Moreover, taking the tangent distribution given by the kernel of α and taking iterated Lie brackets of it, one should obtain an integrable three-dimensional distribution. Even more optimistically, one might hope that a leaf of this distribution be a sphere in a global spherical shell [13], which is conjectured to exist [18]. This behavior is exactly what happens for the explicit solutions constructed in [33] on the standard Hopf surfaces as in item 4. above.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bogomolov, F.A. *Surfaces of class VII₀ and affine geometry*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 4, 710–761.
- [2] Brunella, M. *Subharmonic variation of the leafwise Poincaré metric*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 1, 119–148.
- [3] Brunella, M. *A characterization of Inoue surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **88** (2013), no. 4, 859–874.
- [4] Candel, A. *Uniformization of surface laminations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 489–516.
- [5] Cheng, S.Y., Yau, S.-T. *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), no. 3, 333–354.
- [6] Fong, F.T.-H., Zhang, Z. *The collapsing rate of the Kähler-Ricci flow with regular infinite time singularity*, arXiv:1202.3199, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [7] Ghys, É. *Sur l'uniformisation des laminations paraboliques*, in *Integrable systems and foliations (Montpellier, 1995)*, 73–91, Progr. Math., 145, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1997.
- [8] Gill, M. *Convergence of the parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), no. 2, 277–303.
- [9] Gill, M. *Collapsing of products along the Kähler-Ricci flow*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 7, 3907–3924.
- [10] Gill, M. *The Chern-Ricci flow on smooth minimal models of general type*, arXiv:1307.0066.
- [11] Gill, M., Smith, D.J. *The behavior of Chern scalar curvature under Chern-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1311.6534.
- [12] Inoue, M. *On surfaces of Class VII₀*, Invent. Math. **24** (1974), 269–310.
- [13] Kato, Ma. *Compact complex manifolds containing “global” spherical shells. I*, in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto Univ., Kyoto, 1977)*, 45–84, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978.
- [14] Kodaira, K. *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, II*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), no. 3, 682–721.
- [15] Lauret, J. *Curvature flows for almost-hermitian Lie groups*, arXiv:1306.5931, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [16] Lauret, J., Rodríguez Valencia, E.A. *On the Chern-Ricci flow and its solitons for Lie groups*, arXiv:1311.0832, to appear in Math. Nachr.

- [17] Li, J., Yau, S.-T., Zheng, F. *On projectively flat Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994), 103–109.
- [18] Nakamura, I. *On surfaces of class VII_0 with curves*, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), no. 3, 393–443.
- [19] Nie, X. *Regularity of a complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **22** (2014), no. 5, 833–856.
- [20] Oeljeklaus, K., Toma, M. *Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 1, 161–171.
- [21] Phong, D.H., Sesum, N., Sturm, J. *Multiplier ideal sheaves and the Kähler-Ricci flow*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 3, 613–632.
- [22] Phong, D.H., Sturm, J. *The Dirichlet problem for degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **18** (2010), no. 1, 145–170.
- [23] Sesum, N., Tian, G. *Bounding scalar curvature and diameter along the Kähler Ricci flow (after Perelman)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **7** (2008), no. 3, 575–587.
- [24] Sherman, M., Weinkove, B. *Local Calabi and curvature estimates for the Chern-Ricci flow*, New York J. Math. **19** (2013), 565–582.
- [25] Song, J., Tian, G. *The Kähler-Ricci flow on surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), no. 3, 609–653.
- [26] Song, J., Tian, G. *Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 2, 303–353.
- [27] Song, J., Tian, G. *Bounding scalar curvature for global solutions of the Kähler-Ricci flow*, arXiv:1111.5681.
- [28] Song, J., Weinkove, B. *An introduction to the Kähler-Ricci flow*, in *An introduction to the Kähler-Ricci flow*, 89–188, Lecture Notes in Math. **2086**, Springer, Cham., 2013.
- [29] Streets, J., Tian, G. *A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2010** (2010), no. 16, 3103–3133.
- [30] Teleman, A. *Projectively flat surfaces and Bogomolov’s theorem on class VII_0 -surfaces*, Int. J. Math. **5** (1994), 253–264.
- [31] Tosatti, V. *Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds*, arXiv:1401.4797, to appear in Contemp. Math.
- [32] Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B. *On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern-Ricci form*, J. Differential Geom. **99** (2015), no.1, 125–163.
- [33] Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B. *The Chern-Ricci flow on complex surfaces*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 12, 2101–2138.
- [34] Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B., Yang, X. *Collapsing of the Chern-Ricci flow on elliptic surfaces*, arXiv:1302.6545, to appear in Math. Ann.
- [35] Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B., Yang, X. *The Kähler-Ricci flow, Ricci-flat metrics and collapsing limits*, arXiv:1408.0161.
- [36] Tricerri, F. *Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **40** (1982), no. 1, 81–92.
- [37] Vaisman, I. *Non-Kähler metrics on geometric complex surfaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **45** (1987), no. 3, 117–123.
- [38] Wall, C.T.C. *Geometric structures on compact complex analytic surfaces*, Topology **25** (1986), no. 2, 119–153.
- [39] Yau, S.-T. *A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), no. 1, 197–203.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002,
P.R.CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD,
EVANSTON, IL 60208

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD,
EVANSTON, IL 60208

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
BEIJING 100081, P.R.CHINA